Application No. 08/902,449

Applicant(s)

Cheatham et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Frank Vanaman

Group Art Unit 3611



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Frank Vanaman	(3)
(2) Jon Hokanson	
Date of Interview Jun 23, 1999	_
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (copy is given to	☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	X No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>13, 15, and 17</u>	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
	proposed correction to figure 2 illustrating these two features, and in the specification, but which were not included in the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no c is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
1. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL	e to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is	(including any attachments) reflects a complete response to nts that may be present in the last Office action, and since the s considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last viding a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an	attachment to a signed Office action.

18